

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 BRANDON CHISM,

No. 2:03-cv-1793-MCE-KJM-P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 D. ADAMS,

15 Respondent.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of  
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States  
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On December 20, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein  
21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to  
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner has filed  
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 ///

25 ///


26 ///

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304,  
2 this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,  
3 the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper  
4 analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 20, 2006, are adopted in full; and  
7 2. Petitioner's motion to file an amended petition is granted insofar as the claim that trial  
8 counsel was ineffective in advising him that he retained the right to appeal may be included in an  
9 amended petition, but denied in all other respects.

10 Dated: January 25, 2007

11   
12 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26